arXiv:1402.2033v3 [math.RT] 16 Sep 2014

A NOTE ON MODEL (CO-)SLICE CATEGORIES

ZHI-WEI LI

Abstract. There are various adjunctions between model (co-)slice categories. We characterize when these adjunctions are Quillen equivalences. As an application, a triangle equivalence between the stable category of a Frobenius category and the homotopy category of a non-pointed model category is given.

1. Introduction

Given a category $\mathcal{C}$ and a morphism $f : X \to Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$, one can construct adjunctions between coslice categories $(X \downarrow \mathcal{C})$ and $(Y \downarrow \mathcal{C})$, and between slice categories $(\mathcal{C} \downarrow X)$ and $(\mathcal{C} \downarrow Y)$. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a closed model category, all these (co-)slice categories inherit a model structure from $\mathcal{C}$. In this case, the adjunctions between these (co-)slice categories are Quillen adjunctions. Meanwhile, if we start from an Quillen adjunction between two closed model categories $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$, we also can construct Quillen adjunctions between some (co-)slice categories. This note is aimed to characterize when these adjunctions are Quillen equivalences.

As an application of the characterizations, we construct a triangle equivalence between the stable category of a weakly idempotent complete Frobenius model category and the homotopy category of its coslice category; see Corollary 3.6. As a byproduct, we get a non-pointed model category whose homotopy category is a triangulated category; see Theorem 3.5. This shows that the pointed condition of Quilen's Theorem [7, Chapter I, Theorem 2] is not always necessary.

2. Preliminaries of (co)slice categories and Quillen equivalences

In this section we recall some basic facts about (co)slice categories and Quillen equivalences. Our main references are [7, Chapter I], [1], [5, Chapter 1] and [4, Chapter 8].

Date: September 17, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18A25, 18G55, 55U35, 18E30.

Key words and phrases. Model categories; Homotopy categories; Quillen equivalences; Triangle equivalences .

The author is supported by JSNU12XLR025.

2.1. **Quillen equivalences.** In a closed model category $\mathcal{C}$ [7, Definition I.5.1], there are three classes of morphisms, called *cofibrations, fibrations* and *weak equivalences*. We will denote them by $\mathcal{C}of(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{F}ib(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathcal{W}e(\mathcal{C})$, respectively. A morphism which is both a (co-)fibration and a weak equivalence is called *acyclic (co-)fibration*. An object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is called *cofibrant* if $\emptyset \to A \in \mathcal{C}of(\mathcal{C})$ and *fibrant* if $X \to * \in \mathcal{F}ib(\mathcal{C})$, where $\emptyset$ is the initial object of $\mathcal{C}$ and $*$ the terminal object of $\mathcal{C}$. We use $\mathcal{C}_c$ and $\mathcal{C}_f$ to denote the classes of cofibrant and fibrant objects, respectively. An object in $\mathcal{C}_{cf} := \mathcal{C}_c \cap \mathcal{C}_f$ is called *bifibrant*.

Suppose $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are closed model categories. An adjunction $F : \mathcal{C} \leftrightarrows \mathcal{D} : G$ is called a *Quillen adjunction* if F preserves cofibrations and acyclic cofibrations or equivalently G preserves fibrations and acyclic fibrations; see [5, Definition 1.3.1, Lemma 1.3.4]. Sometimes we will call F *a left Quillen functor* and G *a right Quillen functor*.

Definition 2.1. [5, Definition 1.3.12] A Quillen adjunction $(F, G; \varphi) : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ is called a *Quillen equivalence* if for all $X \in \mathcal{C}_c$ and $Y \in \mathcal{D}_f$, a map $f : F(X) \to Y \in \mathcal{W}e(\mathcal{D})$ if and only if $\varphi(f) : X \to G(Y) \in \mathcal{W}e(\mathcal{C})$.

If (F, G) is a Quillen equivalence, then the left derived functor $\mathbb{L}F$ and the right derived functor $\mathbb{R}G$ exist; see [7, Chapter I, Section 4]. Furthermore, they induce an equivalent adjunction $(\mathbb{L}F, \mathbb{R}L) : \mathcal{H}o(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{H}o(\mathcal{D})$ between the homotopy categories; see [7, Chapter I, Theorem 3].

In a model category $\mathcal{C}$, we use $p_{_X} : Q(X) \to X$ to denote the cofibrant approximation of X and $r_{_X} : X \to R(X)$ the fibrant approximation of X, respectively; see [7, Chapter I, Section 1] or [1, Section 5]. The following is the most useful criterion for checking when a given Quillen adjunction is a Quillen equivalence.

Proposition 2.2. [5, Proposition 1.3.13, Corollary 1.3.16] *Suppose $(F, G, \varphi; \eta, \varepsilon) : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ is a Quillen adjunction. The following are equivalent:*

(1) *(F, G, φ) is a Quillen equivalence.*

(2) *$(\mathbb{L}F, \mathbb{R}G) : \mathcal{H}o(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{H}o(\mathcal{D})$ is an adjoint equivalence of categories.*

(3) *If $F(f)$ is a weak equivalence for a map f in $\mathcal{C}_c$, so is f. And the map $F(Q(G(Y))) \overset{F(p_{G(Y)})}{\longrightarrow} FG(Y) \overset{\varepsilon_Y}{\longrightarrow} Y$ is a weak equivalence for every $Y \in \mathcal{D}_f$.*

(4) *If $G(g)$ is a weak equivalence for a map g in $\mathcal{D}_f$, so is g. And the map $X \overset{\eta_X}{\longrightarrow} GF(X) \overset{G(r_{F(X)})}{\longrightarrow} G(R(F(X)))$ is a weak equivalence for every $X \in \mathcal{C}_c$.*

2.2. **The model (co)slice categories.**

Definition 2.3. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category. For an object X in $\mathcal{C}$, the *coslice category* $(X \downarrow \mathcal{C})$ is the category in which an object is a map $X \xrightarrow{f} C$ in $\mathcal{C}$, and a map from $X \xrightarrow{f} C$ to $X \xrightarrow{f'} C'$ is a map $\alpha : C \to C'$ such that $f' = \alpha \circ f$. The composition of maps is defined by the composition of maps in $\mathcal{C}$.

Dually, we define the *slice category* $(\mathcal{C} \downarrow X)$ over X.

Now let $\mathcal{C}$ be a closed model category. If we define a map in $(X \downarrow \mathcal{C})$ and $(\mathcal{C} \downarrow X)$ is weak equivalence, cofibration, or fibration if it is one in $\mathcal{C}$, then both the coslice category $(X \downarrow \mathcal{C})$ and the slice category $(\mathcal{C} \downarrow X)$ become closed model categories; see [4, Theorem 7.6.5].

From now on, when we talk about model coslice and slice categories, we always mean that their model structures are given as above.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a model category. Then*

(1) $(X \downarrow \mathcal{C})_c = \{u \in (X \downarrow \mathcal{C}) \mid u \in \mathcal{C}of(\mathcal{C})\}$ *and* $(X \downarrow \mathcal{C})_f = \{X \xrightarrow{u} C \in (X \downarrow \mathcal{C}) \mid C \in \mathcal{C}_f\}$.

(2) $(\mathcal{C} \downarrow X)_c = \{C \xrightarrow{u} X \in (\mathcal{C} \downarrow X) \mid C \in \mathcal{C}_c\}$ *and* $(\mathcal{C} \downarrow X)_f = \{u \in (\mathcal{C} \downarrow X) | \ u \in \mathcal{F}ib(\mathcal{C})\}$.

Proof. (1). Note that, the initial object in $(X \downarrow \mathcal{C})$ is $X \xrightarrow{1_X} X$ and the terminal object is $X \to *$. From these the statement (1) can be verified directly. The proof of assertion (2) is dually. □

2.3. **Quillen adjunctions between (co-)slice categories.** Now let $\mathcal{C}$ be a bicomplete category and let $g : X \to Y$ be a map in $\mathcal{C}$. Then there are adjunctions $(g_!, g^*) : (X \downarrow \mathcal{C}) \to (Y \downarrow \mathcal{C})$ and $(g_*, g^!) : (\mathcal{C} \downarrow X) \to (\mathcal{C} \downarrow Y)$; see [4, Lemma 7.6.6]. Where $g_!$ takes the object $X \to C$ to its cobase change along g, and g^* takes the object $Y \to D$ to its composition with g. Dually, g_* takes the object $C \to X$ to its composition with g, and $g^!$ takes the object $D \to Y$ to its base change along g. In particular, if we take $X = \emptyset$ the initial object of $\mathcal{C}$, then g^* is just the forgetful functor from $(Y \downarrow \mathcal{C})$ to $\mathcal{C} = (\emptyset \downarrow \mathcal{C})$.

If we already have an adjunction $(S, U; \varphi, \eta, \varepsilon) : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ between the categories $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$. Then for any object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{D}$, (S, U) induces the following two adjunctions:

- $\overline{S} : (X \downarrow \mathcal{C}) \to (S(X) \downarrow \mathcal{D})$ with $u \mapsto S(u)$ and $f : u \to u' \mapsto S(f)$; $\overline{U} : (S(X) \downarrow \mathcal{D}) \to (X \downarrow \mathcal{C})$ with $S(X) \stackrel{u}{\to} D \mapsto X \stackrel{\eta_X}{\to} US(X) \stackrel{U(u)}{\to} U(D)$ and $f : u \to u' \mapsto U(f)$.
- $\widetilde{S} : (\mathcal{C} \downarrow U(Y)) \to (\mathcal{D} \downarrow Y)$ with $C \to U(Y) \mapsto S(C) \to SU(Y) \stackrel{\epsilon_Y}{\to} Y$ and $f : u \to u' \mapsto S(f)$; $\widetilde{U} : (\mathcal{D} \downarrow Y) \to (\mathcal{C} \downarrow U(Y))$ with $u \mapsto U(u)$ and $f : u \to u' \mapsto U(f)$.

With above notations, we have the following proposition, part of which in some special case can be found in [5, Chapter 1, Section 3] and [6, Chapter 16, Section 2].

Proposition 2.5. (1) *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a model category and $g : X \to Y$ a map in $\mathcal{C}$. Then*

(*i*) $(g_!, g^*) : (X \downarrow \mathcal{C}) \to (Y \downarrow \mathcal{C})$ *is a Quillen adjunction.*

(*ii*) $(g_*, g^!) : (\mathcal{C} \downarrow X) \to (\mathcal{C} \downarrow Y)$ *is a Quillen adjunction.*

(2) *Let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be two model categories. If $(S, U) : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ is a Quillen adjunction and $X \in \mathcal{C}, Y \in \mathcal{D}$, then*

(*i*) $(\overline{S}, \overline{U}) : (X \downarrow \mathcal{C}) \to (S(X) \downarrow \mathcal{D})$ *is a Quillen adjunction.*

(*ii*) $(\widetilde{S}, \widetilde{U}) : (\mathcal{C} \downarrow U(Y)) \to (\mathcal{D} \downarrow Y)$ *is a Quillen adjunction.*

Proof. These two statements can be proved by the definition of Quillen adjunction and the pushout, pullback axioms of model categories. We leave the details to the reader. □

Assume now that $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are two closed model categories and denote by $\mathcal{C}_* = (* \downarrow \mathcal{C})$ and $\mathcal{D}_* = (* \downarrow \mathcal{D})$ the slice categories of $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ induced by the terminal object $*$, respectively. If $(S, U) : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ is a Quillen adjunction, M. Hovey has constructed a functor U_* from $\mathcal{D}_* \to \mathcal{C}_*$ by mapping object $* \stackrel{v}{\to} D$ to $U(*) = * \stackrel{U(v)}{\to} U(X)$ [5]. He has shown that this functor is a right Quillen functor. Note that if we denote by the map $S(*) \to *$ as g in $\mathcal{D}_*$, then U_* is the composition the functors $\mathcal{D}_* \stackrel{g^*}{\to} (S(*) \downarrow \mathcal{D}) \stackrel{\overline{U}}{\to} \mathcal{C}_*$. So by Proposition 2.5, this functor has a left adjoint $S_* = \overline{S} \circ g_!$, then we can get Proposition 1.3.5 of [5] directly.

Corollary 2.6. [5, Proposition 1.3.5] *A Quillen adjunction $(S, U) : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ induces a Quillen adjunction $(S_*, U_*) : \mathcal{C}_* \to \mathcal{D}_*$.*

3. Main results

In this section we will characterize when the various Quillen adjunctions in Proposition 2.5 are Quillen equivalences.

Proposition 3.1. *If $\mathcal{C}$ is a closed model category and $g : X \to Y$ a map in $\mathcal{C}$, then*

(i) $(g_!, g^*) : (X \downarrow \mathcal{C}) \to (Y \downarrow \mathcal{C})$ *is a Quillen equivalence if and only if the cobase change of g along u for each $u \in (X \downarrow \mathcal{C})_c$ is a weak equivalence.*

(ii) $(g_*, g^!) : (\mathcal{C} \downarrow X) \to (\mathcal{C} \downarrow Y)$ *is a Quillen equivalence if and only if the base change of g along u for each $u \in (\mathcal{C} \downarrow Y)_f$ is a weak equivalence.*

(2) *Assume that $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are closed model categories and $(S, U) : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ a Quillen equivalence. Then given any object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{D}$,*

(i) if $X \in \mathcal{C}_c$, the adjunction $(\overline{S}, \overline{U}) : (X \downarrow \mathcal{C}) \to (S(X) \downarrow \mathcal{D})$ is a Quillen equivalence;

(ii) if $Y \in \mathcal{D}_f$, the adjunction $(\widetilde{S}, \widetilde{U}) : (\mathcal{C} \downarrow U(Y)) \to (\mathcal{D} \downarrow Y)$ is a Quillen equivalence.

Proof. For the proof of statement (i) of (1), recall that $(X \downarrow \mathcal{C})_c = \{u \in (X \downarrow \mathcal{C}) \mid u \in \mathcal{C}of(\mathcal{C})\}$ and $(Y \downarrow \mathcal{C})_f = \{Y \xrightarrow{u} C \in (Y \downarrow \mathcal{C}) \mid C \in \mathcal{C}_f\}$. By the construction of $g_!$, the unit $\eta_u : u \to g^* g_!(u)$ is the cobase change of g along u for any $u \in (X \downarrow \mathcal{C})$. Since $g^*(f) = f$, by Proposition 2.2, $(g_!, g^*)$ is a Quillen equivalence if and only if the composite

$$u \xrightarrow{\eta_u} g^* g_!(u) \overset{g^*(r_{g_!(u)})}{\longrightarrow} g^*(R(g_!(u)))$$

is a weak equivalence for $u \in (X \downarrow \mathcal{C})_c$. Note that $g^*(r_{g_!(u)}) = r_{g_!(u)}$ is a weak equivalence. So by the 2-out-of-3 axiom of weak equivalences, this is equivalent to the cobase change of g along u is a weak equivalence.

The others can be proved similarly. □

Corollary 3.2. [5, Proposition 1.3.7] *Suppose $(S, U) : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ is a Quillen equivalence, and suppose in addition that the terminal object $* \in \mathcal{C}_c$ and that S preserves the terminal object. Then $(S_*, U_*) : \mathcal{C}_* \to \mathcal{D}_*$ constructed as in Corollary* 2.6 *is a Quillen equivalence.*

Proof. In this case, $(S_*, U_*) = (\overline{S}, \overline{U})$ is a Quillen equivalence by Proposition 3.1. □

Recall that a closed model category $\mathcal{C}$ is called *left proper* if every cobase change of a weak equivalence along a cofibration is weak equivalence. Dually, $\mathcal{C}$ is called *right proper* if every base change of a weak equivalence along a fibration is a weak equivalence. By Proposition 3.1, we can redescribe the left or right properness of a model category by Quillen equivalences:

Corollary 3.3. [1] (1) *A closed model category $\mathcal{C}$ is left proper if and only if $(g_!, g^*)$ is a Quillen equivalence for every weak equivalence $g : X \to Y$.*

(2) *A closed model category $\mathcal{C}$ is right proper if and only if $(g_*, g^!)$ is a Quillen equivalence for every weak equivalence $g : X \to Y$.*

Remark 3.4. In general, if g is not a weak equivalence, even $\mathcal{C}$ is proper, $(g_!, g^*)$ is not necessarily a Quillen equivalence. For example, take $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{mod}k[x]/(x^2)$ where k is a field. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is a proper model category in which weak equivalences are stable equivalences and every object is bifibrant. Take $g = 0 \to k$, then $\eta = \binom{1}{0}$ is the unit of the adjunction $(g_!, g^*)$. In this case every object is fibrant, the maps in (4) of Proposition 2.2 is just η_C for any $C \in \mathcal{C}$. But $\eta_k : k \to k \oplus k$ is no way to be a weak equivalence. So the Quillen adjunction $(g_!, g^*) : \mathcal{C} \to (k \downarrow \mathcal{C})$ is not a Quillen equivalence.

If $\mathcal{F}$ is a weakly idempotent complete Frobenius category, then $\mathcal{F}$ has a canonical model structure in which the cofibrations are the monomorphisms, the fibrations are the epimorphisms and the weak equivalences are the stable equivalences [2, Theorem 3.3]. Let A be any nonzero projective-injective object in $\mathcal{F}$. Take $g = 0 : 0 \to A$, then $0_!(0 \to C) = C \stackrel{\binom{0}{1}}{\to} A \oplus C$ is a weak equivalence for any $C \in \mathcal{F}$. By Proposition 3.1, we have a Quillen equivalence $(0_!, 0^*) : (0 \downarrow \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F} \to (A \downarrow \mathcal{F})$. So the derived functors of $0_!$ and 0^* are equivalences of homotopy categories between $\mathcal{H}o(\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathcal{H}o(A \downarrow \mathcal{F})$. Note that in this case, the homotopy category $\mathcal{H}o(\mathcal{F})$ is just the stable category $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$ [3, Chapter I, Section 2.2] which is a triangulated category by Theorem 2.6 of [3]. If we can show that the homotopy category $\mathcal{H}o(A \downarrow \mathcal{F})$ is a triangulated category, then the derived adjunction $(\mathbb{L}0_!, \mathbb{R}0^*) : \underline{\mathcal{F}} \to \mathcal{H}o(A \downarrow \mathcal{F})$ will be a triangle equivalence since Quillen equivalences are automatically triangle equivalences if the corresponding homotopy categories are triangulated categories; see [7, Chapter I, Theorem 3].

Next we will show that the homotopy category $\mathcal{H}o(A \downarrow \mathcal{F})$ is a triangulated category. And then we give the promised example as advertised in Introduction since the coslice category $(A \downarrow \mathcal{F})$ is not pointed by noting that its initial object is $A \stackrel{1_A}{\to} A$ and its terminal object is $A \to 0$.

Theorem 3.5. *The homotopy category $\mathcal{H}o(A \downarrow \mathcal{F})$ is a triangulated category.*

[1] This should be the right version of Proposition 16.2.4 of [6], there the authors claim that a closed model category $\mathcal{C}$ is left proper or right proper iff $(g_!, g^*)$ or $(g_*, g^!)$ is Quillen equivalence for a given map g. For a counter example, see Remark 3.4.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{F}_{cf} = \mathcal{F}$ and A is injective, we know that $(A \downarrow \mathcal{F})_f = (A \downarrow \mathcal{F})$ and cofibrant objects in $(A \downarrow \mathcal{F})$ are split monomorphisms in $\mathcal{F}$ with domain A. So for any object $u \in (A \downarrow \mathcal{F})_c$, we may write u as $A \overset{\binom{1}{0}}{\to} A \oplus C$ up to isomorphism. The morphisms from $u = A \overset{\binom{1}{0}}{\to} A \oplus C$ to $v = A \overset{\binom{1}{0}}{\to} A \oplus D$ are of the form $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & r \\ 0 & s \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. By Quillen's homotopy category theorem [7, Theorem I.1], we know that the homotopy category $\mathcal{H}o(A \downarrow \mathcal{F})$ can be realized as the quotient category $(A \downarrow \mathcal{F})_c/\sim$ where $\sim$ is the homotopy relation. For details, we refer the reader to Section 1 of Chapter I of [7] or Sections 4-5 of [1].

Let $(A \downarrow \mathcal{F})^0_c$ be the subcategory of $(A \downarrow \mathcal{F})_c$ consisting of the objects of the form $A \overset{\binom{1}{0}}{\to} A \oplus C$ and morphisms from $u = A \overset{\binom{1}{0}}{\to} A \oplus C$ to $v = A \overset{\binom{1}{0}}{\to} A \oplus D$ are of the form $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & s \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. The subcategory $(A \downarrow \mathcal{F})^0_c$ has zero object $A \overset{1_A}{\to} A$.

We claim that the inclusion $(A \downarrow \mathcal{F})^0_c \hookrightarrow (A \downarrow \mathcal{F})_c$ induces an equivalence of quotient categories $(A \downarrow \mathcal{F})^0_c/\sim \simeq (A \downarrow \mathcal{F})_c/\sim$. Firstly, note that $A \overset{\binom{1}{0}}{\to} A \oplus C \oplus I(C)$ is a very good cylinder object of $u = A \overset{\binom{1}{0}}{\to} A \oplus C$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & A & & \\ & \overset{\binom{1}{0}}{\swarrow} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \binom{1}{0}} & \overset{\binom{1}{0}}{\searrow} & \\ A \oplus C \oplus C & \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} & A \oplus C \oplus I(C) & \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} & A \oplus C \end{array}$$

where $C \overset{i}{\to} I(C)$ is an injective preenvelope of C in $\mathcal{F}$. Given any morphism from $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & r \\ 0 & s \end{smallmatrix}\right) : u = A \overset{\binom{1}{0}}{\to} A \oplus C \to v = A \overset{\binom{1}{0}}{\to} A \oplus D$, there is a morphism $r' : I(C) \to A$ such that $r'i = r$ since A is injective. Then $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 & r' \\ 0 & s & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ is a cylinder homotopy from $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & r \\ 0 & s \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ to $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & s \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ in $(A \downarrow \mathcal{F})_c$. That is $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & r \\ 0 & s \end{smallmatrix}\right) \sim \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & s \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ in $(A \downarrow \mathcal{F})_c$. Meanwhile it is easy to prove that given any two morphisms $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & \\ 0 & s \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{smallmatrix}\right) : u \to v$ in $(A \downarrow \mathcal{F})^0_c$, they are cylinder homotopic if and only if they cylinder homotopic in $(A \downarrow \mathcal{F})_c$. So we have $(A \downarrow \mathcal{F})_c/\sim \simeq (A \downarrow \mathcal{F})^0_c/\sim$. In particular $\mathcal{H}o(A \downarrow \mathcal{F}) \simeq \mathcal{H}o((A \downarrow \mathcal{F})^0_c)$.

Now we can use Quillen's Theorem I.2 of [7] to the homotopy category $\mathcal{H}o((A \downarrow \mathcal{F})^0_c)$. Recall that $A \overset{\binom{1}{0}}{\to} A \oplus C \oplus I(C)$ is a very good cylinder object of $u = A \overset{\binom{1}{0}}{\to} A \oplus C$, where $I(C)$ is an injective preenvelope of C. By the construction of the suspension functor of the homotopy category $\mathcal{H}o(A \downarrow \mathcal{F})$ [7, The proof of Theorem 2 in Chapter I], we may define $\Sigma(u) = A \overset{\binom{1}{0}}{\to} A \oplus \Sigma^{\mathcal{F}}(C)$. Where $\Sigma^{\mathcal{F}}$ is the suspension functor of the stable category $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$ which is an automorphism of $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$; see [3, Chapter

I, Proposition 2.2]. Then it can be verified directly that the suspension functor Σ defined as above on the homotopy category $\mathcal{H}o((A \downarrow \mathcal{F})^0_c)$ is an auto-equivalence and thus $\mathcal{H}o(A \downarrow \mathcal{F})$ is a triangulated categories by Proposition 5-6 in Section I.3 of [7]. □

Corollary 3.6. *The derived functor* $\mathbb{L}0_! : \underline{\mathcal{F}} \to \mathcal{H}o(A \downarrow \mathcal{F})$ *is a triangle equivalence with quasi-inverse* $\mathbb{R}0^*$.

Proof. By construction, $(0_!, 0^*) : \mathcal{F} \to (A \downarrow \mathcal{F})$ is a Quillen equivalence. Then the derived adjunction $(\mathbb{L}0_!, \mathbb{R}0^*)$ is an equivalent adjunction and $\mathbb{L}0_!$ is a triangle equivalence by Theorem I.3 of [7]. □

Remark 3.7. Dually, we can construct a slice category $(\mathcal{F} \downarrow A)$ for a nonzero projective-injective object A, and there is a triangle equivalence $(\mathbb{L}0_*, \mathbb{R}0^!) : \underline{\mathcal{F}} \to \mathcal{H}o(\mathcal{F} \downarrow A)$.

Acknowledgements The author would like to thank Xiao-Wu Chen, Guodong Zhou and Xiaojin Zhang for their helpful discussions and encouragements.

References

[1] W. G. Dwyer and J. Spalinski, *Homotopy theories and model categories*, Handbook of Algebraic Topology. Chapter 2 1995.

[2] J. Gillespie, *Model structures on exact categories*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, vol. 215, 2011, 2892-2902.

[3] D. Happel, *Triangulated categories in the representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 119, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988.

[4] P. S. Hirschhorn, *Model categories and their localizations*, vol. 99 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, American Mathematical Society, 2003.

[5] M. Hovey, *Model categories*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 63. American Mathematical Society, 1999.

[6] J. P. May, K. Ponto, *More concise Algebraic Topology: Localization, completion, and model categories*, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, 2012.

[7] D. G. Quillen, *Homotopical algebra*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 43. Springer-Verlag, 1967.

Zhi-Wei Li

School of Mathematics and Statistics,

Jiangsu Normal University, Xuzhou 221116, Jiangsu, PR China.

E-mail address: zhiweili@jsnu.edu.cn.